May 2020.

Dear Colleague,

Thank you for your query about the special **Vernon Neppe/Edward Close TDVP Critical Analysis Competition** set up to challenge trained scientists -- e.g., with PhDs in Physics or Mathematics-- to propose any refutation of *the key features of the Triadic Dimensional Distinction Vortical Paradigm (TDVP)*. This challenge is being treated seriously and has scientific and legal requirements to be met.

This 'Challenge' submission likely requires potentially a significant amount of work that the submitter must do, given there are hundreds of hypotheses generated and a large number of discoveries, many proven mathematically and others empirically. It is a formidable task.

Our judges must be able to evaluate the completed submission and this requires several steps.

The closing date was originally announced for the middle of June 2020. It has been extended because of the COVID pandemic and currently is provisionally 15 September 2020. Do remember that you should not submit beyond the expiration date as submissions will not be accepted.

There may be some who might want to query *the key features of the Triadic Dimensional Distinction Vortical Paradigm (TDVP)* work because it is so revolutionary. There are consequently certain fundamental requirements. The submission must, of course, be credible and in detail. It should not be based on one point or on uninformed data. It should encompass all the items listed in the Appendix. (There are about 27 items listed). These may be accessed by www.pni.org/competition.

This will allow you access after filling in the required details. Anyone passing on the passwords to another who has not used their own name and details will be disqualified as the judges must have a list of those accessing this site.

The first task is to submit the refutation 'original article'. The original article to be submitted will be peer-reviewed, independently, and should be no more than 5,000 words. If it is longer than that, the remainder can be submitted as an appendix; but the 5,000 words will constitute the main submission.

The submission might go through several challenges and it's suggested all 27 items or the numbers listed in the Appendix are covered.

It should first be set up as if one is submitting an article for publication, just as the challenger would need to do for the journal DIJECA or another equivalent. If it meets all the criteria in this letter and is of adequate standard it will be published in DIJECA or elsewhere even if it does not refute the model.

The submission must follow the broad rules and an **abstract** of up to 500 words is required. It is The 'challenger' must also give a key coherent **summary** of 100 words. Also, in the **appendix**, the 'challenger' should refer to and, if needed, discuss all the articles he/ she has studied on TDVP and related models at this point so that he/ she can present a coherent refutation of the paradigm. This is more than a *refereed article submission* as the requirements in these instructions must be followed. If all are requirements not completed, the application will be rejected without resubmission being allowed.

Note that un-refereed blogs -- for example, Dr. Close's blogs, or anything directly on one of Dr. Neppe's websites such as YouTubes -- which were commented upon, must relate to refereed articles. There are dozens of refereed articles on pni.org and the expectation is that *all of these* should have been read and studied.

The submission should reflect the scientific establishment, usually an individual with only one submission per establishment. Only one application can be submitted and these cannot usually be revised.

Applicants for this competition should have a thorough knowledge of the work on TDVP. This can be found at the following links <u>www.pni.org</u> and <u>www.brainvoyage.com</u>. They should list in the appendix what they have studied of pertinence.

The preferred 'challenger' background for submission relates to somebody with doctoral training in mathematics, in physics, and also with significant knowledge of dimensional biopsychophysics. The single application can be submitted jointly.

The 'challenger' should also provide a biography of 200 words, indicating their full name, academic institution or other, direct degrees and qualifications, special expertise in the area and their specific academic qualifications. The article will be submitted and initially peer-reviewed independently. It may be that the submission will be rejected.

Once that has gone through this process, then selected referees for this competition will be used, at the chairperson's decision. It is anticipated that there could be up to eight referees if the submission passes the many levels.. The opinion pertaining to refutation has to be unanimous. The article and the submission is funded as an award, at this point, \$1,000 total. Decisions made are not subject to appeal and the conclusions at the sole discretion of the Chairperson and if needed the committee.

The committee strongly suggests you submit initially a review of your key comments and the major background in terms of refutation. We understand that this might be ultimately very complex, because the TDVP publications are over 10,000 pages in toto, and the expectation would be that the challenger would have studied all of the major listed peer-reviewed publications on pni.org as well as *Reality Begins with Consciousness* (at least edition 5 and edition 1). The expectation too is that you would have studied all of the YouTubes that are available on VernonNeppe.org on this topic.

Refutations should involve concepts pertaining to the refutation of the 9-dimensional model, of infinite continuity, of lower dimensional feasibility absent falsification, of gimmel, and of

ordropy, as a starter. Other concepts can be included in that regard. The refutation should include that of Unified Monism as well as concepts pertaining to Consciousness in the TDVP context. Refutations must be based on the specific proven principles that are being falsified, falsifying the whole model, not on just a minor point of difference. Please remember that the key concepts must be refuted, not the extra hypotheses that may or may not be falsifiable and feasible.

We understand this may require an extended amount of work for the challenger, and we expect the refutation to include the majority if not all the publications that are contained in pni.org and in the curricula vitae of Drs. Neppe and Close relating to these articles. This is needed because there are several concepts that have kept growing in this regard. There are approximately 600 different hypotheses that are testable, and this may be one approach.

We hope that ultimately this competition will generate further ideas, so that the whole TDVP model, which is ongoing, will be able to develop, and might lead to collaborations with appropriate individuals. At this point in time, we want to ensure that only serious scholars in the area will be approaching this. This is not laypersons in general who have read about something interesting and think they can refute it: Whereas that may be possible, it is very unlikely given that hundreds of scientists in many countries have already studies different versions of TDVP.

Once the first article submission is completed, then the challenger would be able to proceed to the next stage where any of the referees may ask about clarifications that they need. We welcome your contribution and your ideas, and even if you are unable to refute this, it may be valuable. However, you can imagine that there are many who express opinions without significant expertise in the area; and this is why there has to be a process of weeding out. The opinions of the referees are final at every level and cannot be appealed. The submission becomes the sole copyright of DIEJCA and cannot be posted or discussed on the internet. This includes, but is not limited to, such unrefereed sites as Academia or Research Gate or equivalents. No comments may be posted on any electronic or published medium on this competition without specific permission.

The disclaimers on all sites of Vernon Neppe, Edward Close or their publications or any other publisher of any material must be followed and they are absolved of responsibility. In any event if any claims is brought the maximum involved is \$1000 US and any legal fees will be charged to the claimant not the competition. If this is violated, the TDVP, publishers or Vernon Neppe or Edward Close or their progeny or companies or referees has the right to claim damages.

The application should be headed in the SUBJECT line: Submission for the Challenge for the Vernon Neppe/Edward Close TDVP Critical Analysis Competition *with the date*.

It should be sent to <u>Challenge2020TDVP@pni.org</u>. Any further announcements should be found on Research at VernonNeppe.org or on ERCloseTPhysics.Com and the challenger should follow that. Ultimately instructions appear at <u>www.pni.org/competition</u>.

Those who have their paper accepted may then be passed onto the next level of referees. The full process is expected to take at least one year from the closing fate.

Thank you so much,

The Committee for the Vernon Neppe/Edward Close TDVP Critical Analysis Competition.

## Appendix: Neppe-Close TDVP Competition Specific Criteria

All of the following criteria must be met in order to demonstrate refutation of *the Neppe-Close TDVP Paradigm* for the purposes of the competition. Concepts from #12 are listed here only in a one sentence outline but the more extended demonstrations are useful.

- 1) Space, time, and consciousness, possibly gimmel, are all tethered together in union, with each being separate substrates.
- 2) The laws of nature are unified.
- 3) Quantal data with refutes atomic materialism.
- 4) The Besant data demonstrating how the 91 elements at the time are correlated astronomically to quantal units in TRUE, linked with TDVP.
- 5) The empirical data when normalized are equal with the mass/energy equivalent TRUE unit data with the figures normalized for the Large Hadron Collider, when applying protons, neutrons, and electrons.
- 6) The life element data with multiples of  $108^3$ .
- 7) The proportionate dark matter/dark energy cosmological correlations, are closely equivalent to the gimmel cosmological data in TRUE units.
- 8) The unification of nature at the quantal macro scale and cosmological levels.
- 9) Unified Monism as a philosophical model derives directly from TDVP.
- 10) Lower dimensional feasibility absent falsification (LFAF) can be applied in the sciences.
- 11) Gimmel is necessary in every stable particle in the universe
- 12) Infinite continuity is necessarily linked with quantal universe.
- 13) The quantized is a volumetric model.
- 14) The Calculus of Distinctions, is a fundamental mathematical quantal calculation and logical method.
- 15) The presence of distinctions of essence; with content, extent, and intent [impact, influence] are critical.
- 16) Science can be applied to spirituality and vice versa in the TDVP context.
- 17) TDVP involves a 9-dimensional quantized finite model.
- 18) This model is enveloped by the infinite, as a single unitary model.
- 19) Multidimensional time, consciousness, extension of space
- 20) Continued existence in the infinite model.
- 21) Concept of ordropy
- 22) Application of n-dimensional + 1 models
- 23) Extensions beyond 3S-1t in different existences.
- 24) Relative versus absolute concepts; frameworks of
- 25) Relative dimensionality and non-locality
- 26) Time, space, consciousness travel

27) Instantaneity and relative instantaneity.

All of these must be refuted, in the context of how they are described, and that demonstration must be complete not partial reflecting fundamental components to the TDVP Paradigm as of 2020. There are other components of TDVP, but the above are the fundamentals. Each leads onto the other. TDVP is a model that is continually being amplified and in its non-fundamental meanings being modified.

The instructions for the competition must be accessed through www.pni.org/competition. These instructions should not be passed from one person to another: The link, pni.org/competition can be passed on, but not the direct instructions. If those instructions have been passed on, the individual or organization will be disqualified. TDVP is a dynamic model and the entrant must check for any additions and amplifications or deletions or modifications on the first of each month because the rules are based on the latest version. The record of the downloads is accessible and requires identification of the signatories. The competition organizers need to have an exact record of the individuals who have accessed these instructions: With their exact real identities, no pseudonyms, , institution, address, locality and country, email and phone. They must answer the question: "Did you pass on the actual instructions? (You could pass on the link which yields the password.)"